arXiv:0810.4505v2 [math.GT] 14 Nov 2008

Quasi-isometries between visual hyperbolic spaces.

Álvaro Martínez-Pérez*

Departamento de Geometría y Topología, Universidad Complutense
de Madrid. Madrid 28040, Spain
e-mail: alvaro_martinez@mat.ucm.es

Abstract

We prove that a PQ-symmetric homeomorphism between two complete metric spaces can be extended to a quasi-isometry between their hyperbolic approximations.

This result is used to prove that two visual Gromov hyperbolic spaces are quasi-isometric if and only if there is a PQ-symmetric homeomorphism between their boundaries.

1 Introduction.

There are many results studying the geometry of hyperbolic spaces from a large scale point of view by looking at the boundary. Many of them are motivated by questions about Gromov hyperbolic groups and the results involve group actions or other techniques out of the geometric framework. For example, F. Paulin (see [5]) characterizes, from the boundary, the quasi-isometries between Gromov hyperbolic spaces under the assumption that there is a group acting isometrically and co-compactly on them. There are also many further results involving group actions, considering quasi-conformal structures on the boundary.

Other works, like [1] and [2], which is the main source for this paper, restrict themselves to Gromov hyperbolic spaces as geometric objects. In [1] appears some useful construction, the hyperbolic cone X over a bounded metric space Z, which is a hyperbolic space whose boundary is identified with Z, $\partial_\infty X = Z$, and where the original metric in Z is a visual metric for $\partial_\infty X$. Then, they prove that PQ-symmetric maps between bounded metric spaces can be extended to quasi-isometries between their hyperbolic cones.

In [2], S. Buyalo and V. Schroeder introduce a special kind of hyperbolic cones called hyperbolic approximations, which are defined in general for non-necessarily bounded metric spaces. This hyperbolic approximation

---

*Partially supported by MTM 2006-00825

has the advantage of being geodesic (while the hyperbolic cone is only roughly geodesic) and also, of including in the construction fixed coverings by balls of the metric space. With this, they obtain some extension of the mentioned result in of M. Bonk and O. Schramm proving that quasi-symmetric homeomorphisms between uniformly perfect, complete metric spaces can be extended to quasi-isometries between the hyperbolic approximations and they characterize from the boundary the quasi-isometry type of visual hyperbolic spaces with uniformly perfect boundary.

Herein, we generalize this result for complete metric spaces and therefore, give a necessary and sufficient condition on the map between the boundaries for two visual hyperbolic spaces to be quasi-isometric.

The main results would be the following.

Theorem 1.1. *For any PQ-symmetric homeomorphism $f : Z \to Z'$ of complete metric spaces, there is a quasi-isometry of their hyperbolic approximations $F : X \to X'$ which induces f, $\partial_\infty F(z) = f(z) \forall z \in Z$. Moreover, this quasi-isometry can be made continuous.*

Corollary 1.2. *Let X, X' be visual hyperbolic geodesic spaces. Then, any PQ-symmetric homeomorphism $f : \partial_\infty X \to \partial_\infty X'$ can be extended to a quasi-isometry $F : X \to X'$.*

Corollary 1.3. *Two visual hyperbolic geodesic spaces X, Y are quasi-isometric if and only if there is a PQ-symmetric homeomorphism f with respect to any visual metrics between their boundaries with base points in X, Y respectively.*

Acknowledgments. The author would like to express his gratitude to Bruce Hughes, for his help and support producing this work.

2 The boundary at infinity of a Gromov hyperbolic space.

We recall some basic definitions about Gromov hyperbolic spaces. There are many references where a more detailed and deeper exposition can be found. Let us cite among them just the work of Gromov [4], and the well known book of Ghys and de la Harpe, [3].

Let X be a metric space. Fix a base point $o \in X$ and for $x, x' \in X$ put $(x|x')_o = \frac{1}{2}(|xo| + |x'o| - |xx'|)$ where $|xy|$ denotes the distance between x, y. The number $(x|x')_o$ is non-negative and it is called the *Gromov product* of x, x' with respect to o.

Definition 2.1. *A metric space X is* (Gromov) hyperbolic *if it satisfies the δ-inequality*

$$(x|y)_o \geq min\{(x|z)_o, (z|y)_o\} - \delta$$

for some $\delta \geq 0$, for every base point $o \in X$ and all $x, y, z \in X$.

Let X be a hyperbolic space and $o \in X$ a base point. A sequence of points $\{x_i\} \subset X$ *converges to infinity* if

$$\lim_{i,j\to\infty} (x_i|x_j)_o = \infty.$$

This property is independent of the choice of o since

$$|(x|x')_o - (x|x')_{o'}| \leq |oo'|$$

for any $x, x', o, o' \in X$.

Two sequences $\{x_i\}, \{x'_i\}$ that converge to infinity are *equivalent* if

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} (x_i|x'_i)_o = \infty.$$

Using the δ-inequality, we easily see that this defines an equivalence relation for sequences in X converging to infinity. The *boundary at infinity* $\partial_\infty X$ of X is defined to be the set of equivalence classes of sequences converging to infinity.

The notion of Gromov product can be extended to points in the boundary. Let $\xi, \xi' \in \partial_\infty X$. Define their Gromov product by

$$(\xi|\xi')_o = \inf \liminf_{i\to\infty} (x_i|x'_i)_o \tag{1}$$

where the infimum is taken over all sequences $\{x_i\} \in \xi$ and $\{x'_i\} \in \xi'$.

A metric d in $\partial_\infty X$ is said to be *visual* if there are $o \in X$, $a > 1$ and positive constants c_1, c_2 such that

$$c_1 a^{-(\xi|\xi')_o} \leq d(\xi|\xi')_o \leq c_2 a^{-(\xi|\xi')_o}$$

for all $\xi, \xi' \in \partial_\infty X$. In this case, we say that d is a *visual metric* with respect to the base point o and the parameter a.

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a hyperbolic space. Then for any $o \in X$, there is $a_0 > 1$ such that for every $a \in (1, a_0]$ there exists a metric d on $\partial_\infty X$ which is visual with respect to o and a.*

In case we have $x \in X$ and $\xi \in \partial_\infty X$, to define $(\xi, x)_o$ consider in (1) $x'_i = x$.

Definition 2.3. *A hyperbolic space is* visual *if for some base point $o \in Y$ there is a positive constant D such that for every $y \in Y$ there is $\xi \in \partial_\infty Y$ with $d(o, y) \leq (y|\xi)_o + D$.*

3 Hyperbolic approximation of metric spaces.

We recall here the construction of the hyperbolic approximation introduced in [2].

A subset V in a metric space Z is called *a-separated*, $a > 0$, if $d(v, v') \geq a$ for any distinct $v, v' \in V$. Note that if V is maximal with this property, then the union $\cup_{v \in V} B_a(v)$ covers Z.

A *hyperbolic approximation* of a metric space Z is a graph X which is defined as follows. Fix a positive $r \leq \frac{1}{6}$ which is called the *parameter* of X. For every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $V_k \in Z$ be a maximal r^k-separated set. For every $v \in V_k$, consider the ball $B(v) \subset Z$ of radius $r(v) := 2r^k$ centered at v. Let us fix more precisely the set V as the union, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, of the set of balls $B(v)$, $v \in V_k$. Therefore, if for any $v, v' \in V_k$, $B(v) = B(v')$, they represent the same point in V, but if $B(v_k) = B(v_{k'})$ with $k \neq k'$, then they yield different points in V. Let V be the vertex set of a graph X. Vertices v, v' are connected by an edge if and only if they either belong to the same level, V_k, and the close balls $\bar{B}(v), \bar{B}(v')$ intersect, $\bar{B}(v) \cap \bar{B}(v') \neq \emptyset$, or they lie on neighboring levels $V_k, V_k + 1$ and the ball of the upper level, V_{k+1}, is contained in the ball of the lower level, V_k.

An edge $vv' \subset X$ is called *horizontal*, if its vertices belong to the same level, $v, v' \in V_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Other edges are called *radial*. Consider the path metric on X for which every edge has length 1. $|vv'|$ denotes the distance between points $v, v' \in V$ in X, while $d(v, v')$ denotes the distance between them in Z. There is a natural level function $l : V \to \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $l(v) = k$ for $v \in V_k$. Consider also the canonical extension $l : X \to \mathbb{R}$.

Note that any (finite or infinite) sequence $\{v_k\} \in V$ such that $v_k v_{k+1}$ is a radial edge for every k and the level function l is monotone along $\{v_k\}$, is the vertex sequence of a geodesic in X. Such a geodesic is called *radial*.

Assume now that the metric space Z is bounded and non-trivial. Then the largest integer k with $diam Z < r^k$ exists, and it is denoted by $k_0 = k_0(diam Z, r)$. For every $k \leq k_0$ the vertex set V_k consists of one point, and therefore contains no essential information about Z. Thus, the graph X is modified putting $V_k = \emptyset$ for every $k < k_0$ and this modified graph is called the *truncated hyperbolic approximation* of Z.

Proposition 6.2.10 in [2] states that:

Proposition 3.1. *A hyperbolic approximation of any metric space is a geodesic 2δ-hyperbolic space with $2\delta = 3$.*

Also, if X is the hyperbolic approximation of a complete metric space Z, there is a canonical identification $\partial_\infty X = Z \cup \{\infty\}$ such that the metric of Z is visual on $\partial_\infty X \backslash \{\omega\}$, where $\{\omega\}$ is the unique point at infinity represented by a sequence $\{v_i\} \in V$ with $l(v_i) \to -\infty$ and corresponds to the point $\{\infty\}$ added to Z. If Z is bounded and X is the truncated hyperbolic approximation of Z then $\partial_\infty X = Z$.

Let us recall the following lemma in [2] (6.2.2).

Lemma 3.2. *For every $v, v' \in V$ there exists $w \in V$ with $l(w) \leq l(v), l(v')$ such that v, v' can be connected to w by radial geodesics.*

Consider any subset $V' \subset V$. A point $u \in V$ is a *cone* point for V' if $l(u) \leq inf_{v \in V'} l(v)$ and every $v \in V'$ is connected to u by a radial geodesic. A cone point of maximal level is called a *branch point* of V'. By lemma 3.2, for any two points $v, v' \in V$ there is a cone point. Thus every finite V' possesses a cone point and hence a branch point.

Definition 3.3. *$v_k \in V_k$ is a splitting point of V if there is some $v_{k+1} \in V_{k+1}$ such that $B(v_{k+1}) \subsetneq B(v_k)$.*

Lemma 3.4. *Any branch point for two vertices which are not joined by a radial geodesic is a splitting point.*

Proof. Suppose v_k is a cone point of v, v' and it is not a splitting point. For any $v_{k+1} \in V_{k+1}$ with $B(v_{k+1}) \subset B(v_k)$ by definition $B(v_{k+1}) = B(v_k)$. Then, since v_k is joined to v and v' by radial geodesics, v_{k+1} is also a cone point and then, the branch point is at least in level $k+1$. □

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose v_k is a splitting point in a hyperbolic approximation with parameter r. Then,*

$$r^{k+1} \leq diam B(v_k) \leq 4r^k.$$

The following lemmas appear as 6.2.5, 6.2.6 and 6.2.7 in [2].

Lemma 3.6. *Any two vertices $v, v' \in V$ can be joined by a geodesic $\gamma = v_0, ..., v_{n+1}$ such that $l(v_i) < max\{l(v_{i-1}), l(v_{i+1})\}$.*

Lemma 3.7. *Any vertices $v, v' \in V$ can be connected in X by a geodesic which contains at most one horizontal edge. If there is such an edge, then it lies on the lowest level of the geodesic.*

Lemma 3.8. *Assume that for some $v, v' \in V$ the balls $B(v)$, $B(v')$ intersect. Then $|vv'| \leq |l(v) - l(v')| + 1$.*

The same argument of 3.8 yields:

Lemma 3.9. *Assume that for some $v, v' \in V$ there is some vertex v'' with $l(v'') \leq l(v), l(v')$ and such that $B(v'')$ intersects the balls $B(v)$, $B(v')$. Then, there exists a cone point w for v, v', v'' such that $l(w) = l(v'') - 1$.*

Proof. Suppose $B(v'') = B(v'', 2r^k)$. There is some point $w'' \in B(v'', r^{k-1}) \cap V_{k-1}$ and clearly $B(v'', 2r^k) \subset B(w, 2r^{k-1})$. Also, there exist $u, u' \in V_k$ joined by radial geodesics with v, v', and since $B(v'')$ intersects $B(v)$, $B(v')$, it intersects in particular $B(u)$, $B(u')$. Hence $|uv''|, |u'v''| \leq 1$ and $B(u), B(u')$ are contained in $B(v'', 6r^k) \subset B(w, 2r^{k-1})$. □

Lemma 3.10. *Let v_1, v_2 be any two vertices in a hyperbolic approximation with parameter r. If w is a branch point for v_1, v_2, then*

$$\frac{r^2}{4} \leq \frac{diam(B(v_1) \cup B(v_2))}{diam(B(w))}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.9, there is no vertex at level $l(w)+2$ whose ball contains both $B(v_1)$, $B(v_2)$ and hence

$$diam(B(v_1) \cup B(v_2)) \geq \frac{r^2}{4} diam(B(w))).$$

□

4 Extension of quasi-isometries.

The following definitions are classical in asymptotic geometry. However, there are different conventions in the literature for some of them. (In [1], for example, they use the term "roughly quasi-isometric" instead of "quasi-isometric" keeping this name for the particular case when the additive constant is 0). Let us fix this concepts as they are stated in [2] which is the main reference for this paper.

Definition 4.1. *A subset $A \subset Y$ in a metric space Y is called a* net *if there is a constant $D > 0$ such that $\forall y \in Y$, $d(y, A) \leq D$.*

Definition 4.2. *A map between metric spaces, $f : (X, d_X) \to (Y, d_Y)$, is* rough isometric *if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $\forall x, x' \in X$, $|d_Y(f(x), f(x')) - d_X(x, x')| \leq C$. If $f(X)$ is a net in Y, then f is a* rough isometry *and X, Y are* roughly isometric.

Definition 4.3. *A map between metric spaces, $f : (X, d_X) \to (Y, d_Y)$, is said to be* homothetic *if if there are constants a, b such that $\forall x, x' \in X$, $|d_Y(f(x), f(x')) - a \cdot d_X(x, x')| \leq b$. If $f(X)$ is a net in Y, then f is a* rough similarity *and X, Y are* roughly similar.

Definition 4.4. *A map between metric spaces, $f : (X, d_X) \to (Y, d_Y)$, is said to be* quasi-isometric *if there are constants $\lambda \geq 1$ and $C > 0$ such that $\forall x, x' \in X$, $\frac{1}{\lambda} d_X(x, x') - A \leq d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq \lambda d_X(x, x') + A$. If $f(X)$ is a net in Y, then f is a* quasi-isometry *and X, Y are* quasi-isometric.

See [1] and [2]. A quasi-symmetric homeomorphism in the boundaries can be extended to a quasi-isometry for visual hyperbolic spaces with uniformly perfect boundaries at infinity. See Theorem 7.2.1 an Corollary 7.2.3 in [2].

Definition 4.5. *A map $f : X \to Y$ between metric spaces is called* quasi-symmetric *if it is not constant and if there is a homeomorphism $\eta : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ such that from $|xa| \leq t|xb|$ it follows that $|f(x)f(a)| \leq \eta(t)|f(x)f(b)|$ for any $a, b, x \in X$ and all $t \geq 0$. The function η is called the* control function *of f.*

Definition 4.6. *A quasi-symmetric map is said to be* power quasi-symmetric *or* PQ-symmetric*, if its control function is of the form*

$$\eta(t) = q \max\{t^p, t^{\frac{1}{p}}\}$$

for some $p, q \geq 1$.

Definition 4.7. *A map between metric spaces is said to be* bounded *if the image of any bounded set is bounded.*

Proposition 4.8. *A map f between metric spaces is PQ-symmetric if and only if it is bounded and there exist constants $\lambda \geq 1, A > 0$ such that for any pair of non-trivial (i.e. with at least two points) bounded sets $B_2 \subset B_1$,*

$$A\Big(\frac{diam(B_2)}{diam(B_1)}\Big)^{\lambda} \leq \frac{diam(f(B_2))}{diam(f(B_1))} \leq \frac{1}{A}\Big(\frac{diam(B_2)}{diam(B_1)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}. \tag{2}$$

Proof. Suppose f is a PQ-symmetric map with constants p, q. Obviously it is bounded. Let $B_2 \subset B_1$ be any pair of non-trivial bounded sets and x, a any pair of points in B_2 with $|xa| \geq \frac{1}{2} diam(B_2)$. Consider $t \geq 1$ such that $|xb| \leq t \cdot |xa|$ for every $b \in B_1$ and such that there exists $b_0 \in B_1$ with $|xb_0| \geq \frac{t}{2}|xa|$.

Clearly,

$$\frac{diam(B_2)}{diam(B_1)} \leq \frac{|xa|}{\frac{t}{2}|xa|} = \frac{4}{t}. \tag{3}$$

Since f is PQ-symmetric with constants p, q, $|x'b'| \leq qt^p|x'a'|$ for $x' = f(x), a' = f(a), b' = f(b)$. Thus,

$$\frac{diam(f(B_2))}{diam(f(B_1))} \geq \frac{|x'a'|}{2qt^p|x'a'|} \geq \frac{1}{2q}\Big(\frac{1}{t}\Big)^p.$$

From this, together with (3), we obtain that

$$\frac{diam(f(B_2))}{diam(f(B_1))} \geq \frac{1}{2q} \cdot \frac{1}{4^p} \cdot \Big(\frac{diam(B_2)}{diam(B_1)}\Big)^p. \tag{4}$$

We use a similar argument for the upper bound. Consider $x \in B_2$, $a \in B_1$ with $|xa| \geq \frac{1}{3} diam(B_1)$ and $t \leq 1$ such that $|xb| < t|xa|$ for every b in B_2 and such that there exist $b_0 \in B_2$ with $2|xb| > t|xa|$.

Clearly,

$$\frac{diam(B_2)}{diam(B_1)} \geq \frac{\frac{t}{2}|xa|}{3|xa|} = \frac{t}{6}. \tag{5}$$

Since f is PQ-symmetric with constants p, q, $|x'b'| \leq qt^{\frac{1}{p}}|x'a'|$ with x', a', b' denoting $f(x), f(a), f(b)$. Thus,

$$\frac{diam(f(B_2))}{diam(f(B_1))} \leq \frac{2qt^{\frac{1}{p}}|x'a'|}{|x'a'|} \leq 2qt^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

This, together with (5) yields

$$\frac{diam(f(B_2))}{diam(f(B_1))} \leq 2q6^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \Big(\frac{diam(B_2)}{diam(B_1)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{6}$$

Therefore, it suffices to consider $\lambda = p$ and $A := 1/\max\{2q4^p, 2q6^{\frac{1}{p}}\}$.

Now let $a, b, x \in X$ with $|xb| \leq t|xa|$. Define $B_2 := \{a, x\}$ and $B_1 := \{a, b, x\}$. Clearly, $t|xa| \leq diam(B_1) \leq (t+1)|xa|$ and

$$\frac{1}{t+1} \leq \frac{diam(B_2)}{diam(B_1)} \leq \frac{1}{t}.$$

Since f is bounded, the diameter $diam(f(B_i))$ is a positive real number, and there are constants $\lambda \geq 1, A > 0$ such that

$$A\Big(\frac{1}{t+1}\Big)^{\lambda} \leq \frac{diam(f(B_2))}{diam(f(B_1))} \leq \frac{|f(x)f(a)|}{|f(x)f(b)|}$$

and

$$|f(x)f(b)| \leq diam(f(B_1)) \leq \frac{1}{A}(t+1)^{\lambda} \cdot |f(x)f(a)|. \tag{7}$$

Now let $B_2' := \{x, b\}$. Clearly, $\frac{1}{t}|xb| \leq diam(B_1) \leq (1 + \frac{1}{t})|xb|$ and

$$\frac{t+1}{t} \leq \frac{diam(B_2')}{diam(B_1)} \leq t.$$

From (2), we get that

$$\frac{|f(x)f(b)|}{diam(f(B_1))} \leq \frac{1}{A}(t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$$

and

$$|f(x)f(b)| \leq \frac{1}{A}(t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \cdot diam(f(B_1)).$$

This, together with (7), yields

$$|f(x)f(b)| \leq \frac{1}{A}(t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \cdot \frac{1}{A}(t+1)^{\lambda} \cdot |f(x)f(a)|. \tag{8}$$

If $t \geq 1$, then $(t+1)^\lambda \leq 2^\lambda \cdot t^\lambda$ and from (7) we obtain that

$$|f(x)f(b)| \leq \frac{2^\lambda}{A}(t)^\lambda \cdot |f(x)f(a)|.$$

If $t < 1$, then $(t+1)^\lambda \leq 2^\lambda$ and from (8) we obtain that

$$|f(x)f(b)| \leq \frac{2^\lambda}{A^2} t^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \cdot |f(x)f(a)|.$$

Therefore, making $p = \lambda$ and $q = \max\{\frac{2^\lambda}{A}, \frac{2^\lambda}{A^2}\}$, f is PQ-symmetric. $\square$

Definition 4.9. *A map is* metrically proper *if the inverse image of a bounded set is bounded.*

Proposition 4.10. *If f is a PQ-symmetric, then it is metrically proper.*

Proof. Let V be a bounded non-trivial set in Y and suppose $f^{-1}(V)$ is not bounded. Consider $B'_2 := \{y_1, y_2\}$ any pair of points in V, $B_2 = \{x_1, x_2\}$ with $x_i = f^{-1}(y_i)$ $i = 1, 2$ and suppose $D = diam(V)$. Now we can choose $B_2 \subset B_1 \subset f^{-1}(V)$ with $diam(B_1)$ as big as we want, and by 4.8, this leads to contradiction with

$$\frac{diam(f(B_2))}{diam(f(B_1))} \leq \frac{1}{A}\Big(\frac{diam(B_2)}{diam(B_1)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$$

for fixed constants λ, A. $\square$

The following technical lemma will be used in the proof of the theorem. The inequality is only needed to depend on the fixed constant, so it is not pretended to be optimal.

Lemma 4.11. *Let $A_1 \subset D_1$, $A_2 \subset D_2$ be bounded sets in a metric space with $diam(D_i) \leq a \cdot diam(A_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ for some constant $a > 1$. Then, $diam(D_1 \cup D_2) < (4a+2)diam(A_1 \cup A_2)$.*

Proof. Consider $y_1, y_2 \in D_1 \cup D_2$ such that $\frac{1}{2}diam(D_1 \cup D_2) < d(y_1, y_2)$. If $y_1, y_2 \in D_i$, for $i = 0, 1$ then $diam(D_1 \cup D_2) < 2diam(D_i) \leq 2a \cdot diam(A_i) \leq 2a \cdot diam(A_1 \cup A_2)$ holding the condition of the lemma. Otherwise, suppose (relabelling if necessary) $y_1 \in D_1$ and $y_2 \in D_2$. Then, for any $x_1 \in A_1$, $x_2 \in A_2$, $\frac{1}{2}diam(D_1 \cup D_2) < d(y_1, y_2) \leq d(y_1, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, y_2) \leq a \cdot diam(A_1) + diam(A_1 \cup A_2) + a \cdot diam(A_2) \leq (2a+1)diam(A_1 \cup A_2)$ finishing the proof. $\square$

The main theorem is a natural extension of Theorem 7.4 in [1] (for clarity, the statement is adapted to the definitions as used along this paper) and Theorem 7.2.1 in [2]:

Theorem 4.12 (Bonk-Schramm)**.** *Any PQ-symmetric homeomorphism* $f : Z \to Z'$ *of bounded metric spaces can be extended to a quasi-isometry between their hyperbolic cones* $\hat{f} : Con(Z) \to Con(Z')$.

Theorem 4.13 (Buyalo-Schroeder)**.** *For any quasi-symmetric homeomorphism* $f : Z \to Z'$ *of uniformly perfect, complete metric spaces, there is a quasi-isometry of their hyperbolic approximations* $F : X \to X'$ *which induces* f, $\partial_\infty F(z) = f(z)\ \forall z \in Z$.

Theorem 4.14. *For any PQ-symmetric homeomorphism* $f : Z \to Z'$ *of complete metric spaces, there is a quasi-isometry of their hyperbolic approximations* $F : X \to X'$ *which induces* f, $\partial_\infty F(z) = f(z)\ \forall z \in Z$. *Moreover, this quasi-isometry can be made continuous.*

Proof. Let X, X' be hyperbolic approximations of Z, Z', let us assume (without loss of generality, see 4.15) that they are defined with the same parameter r, and let V, V' be their sets of vertices. Consider also, λ, A the constants of the characterization shown in 4.8 of being PQ-symmetric.

Claim 1. For every vertex $v \in V$ there is a vertex $v' \in V'$ for which the ball $B(v')$ contains $f(B(v))$ and such that $l(v')$ is maximal. This is consequence of f being bounded.

Consider $|V|$ the set of splitting points in V. Note that for any $v \in |V|$, $B(v)$ is a non-degenerated ball.

Let us define first the map F restricted to $|V|$. For every $v \in |V|$, let $F(v) = v'$ with v' any point holding the condition in Claim 1. Note that, for any other point v'' with the same condition, clearly $B(v') \cap B(v'') \neq \emptyset$ and hence $|v'v''| \leq 1$.

Claim 2. There exist constants $\lambda \geq 1$ and $C_0 > 0$ such that for any pair of vertices v_1, v_2 in $|V|$ with $B(v_1) \subset B(v_2)$ (in particular, if they are joined by a radial geodesic)

$$\frac{1}{\lambda}|v_1v_2| - C_0 \leq |F(v_1)F(v_2)| \leq \lambda|v_1v_2| + C_0.$$

Let $k_1 = l(v_1)$ and $k_2 = l(v_2)$, and let us suppose $k_2 \geq k_1$. As we saw in Lemma 3.5, since v_i are splitting points, $r^{k_i+1} \leq diam(B(v_i)) \leq 4r^{k_i}$. Then,

$$\frac{r}{4} \cdot r^{(k_2-k_1)} \leq \frac{r \cdot r^{k_2}}{4r^{k_1}} \leq \frac{diam(B(v_2))}{diam(B(v_1))} \leq \frac{4r^{k_2}}{r \cdot r^{k_1}} = \frac{4}{r} \cdot r^{(k_2-k_1)}.$$

This, together with 2, yields:

$$A\Big(\frac{r}{4} \cdot r^{(k_2-k_1)}\Big)^{\lambda} \leq \frac{diam(f(B(v_2))}{diam(f(B(v_1)))} \leq \frac{1}{A}\Big(\frac{4}{r} \cdot r^{(k_2-k_1)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}.$$

Now, if $k_1' = l(v_1')$ and $k_2' = l(v_2')$, $r^{k_i'+1} \leq diam(f(B(x_i, \epsilon_i))) \leq 4r^{k_i'}$, and therefore,

$$\frac{r}{4} \cdot r^{(k_2'-k_1')} \leq \frac{diam(f(B(v_2))}{diam(f(B(v_1)))} \leq \frac{4}{r} \cdot r^{(k_2'-k_1')}.$$

and hence we have:

$$A\Big(\frac{r}{4}\cdot r^{(k_2-k_1)}\Big)^{\lambda} \leq \frac{4}{r}\cdot r^{(k_2'-k_1')}$$

and

$$\frac{r}{4}\cdot r^{(k_2'-k_1')} \leq \frac{1}{A}\Big(\frac{4}{r}\cdot r^{(k_2-k_1)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}.$$

obtaining that

$$\frac{r}{4}A\Big(\frac{r}{4}\cdot r^{(k_2-k_1)}\Big)^{\lambda} \leq r^{(k_2'-k_1')} \leq \frac{4}{r}\frac{1}{A}\Big(\frac{4}{r}\cdot r^{(k_2-k_1)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}.$$

Taking $C_1 = log_r(A(\frac{r}{4})^{1+\lambda})$, $C_2 = log_r(\frac{1}{A}(\frac{4}{r})^{1+\frac{1}{\lambda}})$ and $C_3 := \max\{|C_1|, |C_2|\}$, since log_r is decreasing, it is readily seen that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda}(k_2-k_1) - C_3 \leq k_2' - k_1' \leq \lambda(k_2-k_1) + C_3.$$

Since $B(F(v_1)) \cap B(F(v_2)) \neq \emptyset$, by Corollary 6.2.7, $k_2' - k_1' \leq |F(v_1)F(v_2)| \leq k_2' - k_1' + 1$ and making $C_0 := C_3 + 1$, this proves Claim 2.

Claim 3. There exist constants $\lambda \geq 0$, $C > 0$ such that $F|_{|V|}$ is a (λ, C) quasi-isometric map. Consider any pair of vertices $v_1, v_2 \in |V|$ such that none of the balls $B(v_i)$ contains the other (hence, they are not joined by a radial geodesic). Let w be a branch point for them and notice that by Lemma 3.4, $w \in |V|$. Now $|v_1w| + |wv_2| - 1 \leq |v_1v_2| \leq |v_1w| + |wv_2|$. Let w' be a branch point for $F(v_1), F(v_2)$. Again, $|F(v_1)w'| + |w'F(v_2)| - 1 \leq |F(v_1)F(v_2)| \leq |F(v_1)w'| + |w'F(v_2)|$. Therefore, if there is a constant C_4 depending only on r, λ, A such that $|F(w)w'| \leq C_4$, then Claim 4 follows immediately from Claim 3 substituting constant C_0 by $C = C_0 + 2C_4$. Let us show how the existence of C_4 comes from (2).

Let $l(w) = k_w$, and consider $B_2 = B(v_1) \cup B(v_2)$ and $B_1 = B(w)$. As we saw in Lemma 3.10

$$\frac{r^2}{4} \leq \frac{diam(B_2)}{diam(B_1)}.$$

Applying 2, we obtain that

$$A\Big(\frac{r^2}{4}\Big)^{\lambda} \leq \frac{diam(f(B_2))}{diam(f(B_1))} \leq 1. \tag{9}$$

Clearly, $B(w') \cap B(F(w)) \neq \emptyset$. Then $|w'F(w)| \leq |k'_{F(w)} - k'_{w'}| + 1$ where $k'_{F(w)} = l(F(w))$ and $k'_{w'} = l(w')$, and it suffices to bound $|k'_{F(w)} - k'_{w'}|$.

It is immediate to check that

$$r^{k'_{w'}+1} \leq diam(B(F(v_1)) \cup B(F(v_2))) \leq 4r^{k'_{w'}},$$

and

$$r^{k'_{F(w)}+1} \leq diam(f(B_1)) \leq 4r^{k'_{F(w)}}.$$

We can apply Lemma 4.11 with $A_i = f(B(v_i))$ and $D_i = B(F(v_i))$. Since $diam(B(F(v_i))) \leq \frac{4}{r} diam(f(B(v_i)))$, we obtain that

$$diam(B(F(v_1)) \cup B(F(v_2))) \leq \Big(\frac{16}{r} + 2\Big) \cdot diam(f(B_2)) \qquad (10)$$

From these, it can be readily seen that

$$A\Big(\frac{r^2}{4}\Big)^{\lambda} \leq \frac{diam(f(B_2))}{diam(f(B_1))} \leq \frac{4}{r} r^{k'_{w'} - k'_{F(w)}}$$

and

$$\frac{r^2}{4(16+2r)} r^{k'_{w'} - k'_{F(w)}} \leq \frac{diam(f(B_2))}{diam(f(B_1))} \leq 1.$$

Taking logarithms to the base r in these inequalities we can bound $|k'_{F(w)} - k'_{w'}| + 1$ with a constant C_4 only depending on A, λ, r and prove the claim.

Claim 4. For any vertex $v \in V \backslash |V|$ such that $B(v)$ is not degenerated nor the whole space, there exist vertices $v_1, v_2 \in |V|$ with $B(v_2) \subset B(v_1)$ and a radial geodesic $[v_2, v_1]$ containing v. Moreover, we can ask v_1, v_2 to be at maximal and minimal level respectively with that property. This is immediate from the definition of splitting point.

Consider $v \in V \backslash |V|$ and $v_1, v_2 \in |V|$ as in Claim 4. Note that, though for $v'_1 = F(v_1)$ and $v'_2 = F(v_2)$ $l(v'_1) \leq l(v'_2)$, they might not be joined by a radial geodesic. Nevertheless, $B(v'_1) \cap B(v'_2) \neq \emptyset$ and therefore there is another vertex w'_1 which is in the same level of v'_1, $l(w'_1) = l(v'_1)$, and such that w'_1 is joined by a radial geodesic with v'_2 and by a horizontal edge with v'_1. Now suppose that in the geodesic path $[v_1, v_2]$, abusing of the notation, $v = t \cdot v_1 + (1-t) \cdot v_2$ for some $t \in (0,1)$. Let us define $F(v) = t \cdot w'_1 + (1-t) \cdot v'_2$ in $[w'_1, v'_2]$.

Claim 5. A different choice of the points v_1, v_2, w'_1 described in Claim 4 yields a different map, F', whose distance to F is uniformly bounded by a constant. First, note that any different choice for v_1 would be a vertex u_1 with $l(u_1) = l(v_1)$, $B(u_1)$ would intersect $B(v_1)$ and hence $|u_2 v_2| \leq 1$. From the definition of splitting point, it is clear that $B(v_2) = B(v)$ and therefore, the election of v_2 is unique.As we saw in Claim 3, $F|_{|V|}$ is a (λ, C)-quasi-isometric map. Hence, $|w'_1 w''_1| \leq \lambda + C + 2$ for any vertex w''_1 at distance 1 from $F(u_1)$. It is clear that $|l(F(v)) - l(F'(v))| \leq \lambda + C + 2$, and by Lemma 3.8, it is readily seen that $|F(v)F'(v)| \leq 2\lambda + C + 3$ concluding Claim 5.

Consider $v \in V$ such that $B(v) = \{v\}$. Let k_v the minimal k such that $\{v\} \in V_k$. Obviously, for every $k \geq k_v$, $\{v\} \in V_k$ so let us denote v_k the vertex at level k given by this degenerated ball ($B(v_k) = \{v\}$). There is some vertex $u \in V_{k_v - 1}$ such that $\{v\} \in B(u)$. Consider $k' = l(F(u))$ and define $k_{f(v)} = k' + 1$. Now, for every $k \geq k_{f(v)}$ let v'_k be a vertex in V'_k containing $\{f(v)\}$ and joined by a radial edge with v'_{k+1} (this can be always considered taking vertices in $B(f(v), r^k) \cap V'_k$). Finally, let us define $F(v_k) = v'_{k'}$ with $k' = k - k_v + k_{f(v)}$ for every $k \geq k_v$.

Note that for $k > k_v$, the vertex v_k is only joined by radial edges with v_{k-1}, v_{k+1} and that $|F(v_{k_v})F(u)| \leq 2$. Thus, the radial geodesic ray from v_{k_v} towards $\{v\}$ is sent isometrically to a radial geodesic ray from $v'_{k_{f(v)}}$ towards $\{f(v)\}$.

If the metric spaces Z, Z' are unbounded then F is already defined on V. The only case left, is when v is such that $B(v) = Z$, but then, considering the truncated hyperbolic approximations, it suffices to make $F(v)$ the vertex in the minimal level of X'.

Claim 6. There exist constants $\lambda \geq 1,\ C' > 0$ such that $F|_V$ is a (λ, C') quasi-isometric map on the vertices. We already proved this for vertices in $|V|$. Let $v_1, v_2 \in V$ representing non-degenerated balls. If v_1, v_2 are joined by a radial geodesic the claim is immediate from the construction. Otherwise, let w be a branch point for them (then $w \in |V|$). Thus, the upper bound will be clear from Claim 2 and the construction of F. The same argument from Claim 3 on the existence of C_4 gives us now the lower bound.

If v_i for $i = 0$ or 1 is a degenerated ball, consider the minimal level k_i such that $\{v_i\} \in V_{k_i}$ and its ball is still degenerated and let u_i be a vertex with $l(u_i) = k_{i-1}$ and such that $B(v_i) \subsetneq B(u_i)$. If v_i has at least two points, just let $u_i = v_i$. F is a (λ, C)-quasi-isometry on u_1, u_2 and $|F(u_i)F(v_i)| = |u_i v_i|$ where the geodesics (in case $u_i \neq v_i$) $[u_i, v_i], [F(u_i), F(v_i)]$ are radial and isometric.

If $F(u_1), F(u_2)$ are distinct and not joined by a radial geodesic then

$$|F(v_1)F(v_2)| = |F(v_1)F(u_1)| + |F(u_1)F(u_2)| + |F(u_2)F(v_2)|$$

and it follows that F is a (λ, C)-quasi-isometry on v_1, v_2.

Otherwise, the upper bound, $|F(v_1)F(v_2)| \leq \lambda |v_1, v_2| + C$, is clear but not the lower one.

Note that for any branch point $b(F(v_1), F(v_2))$ of $F(v_1), F(v_2)$,

$$|F(v_1)b(F(v_1), F(v_2))| + |b(F(v_1), F(v_2))F(v_2)| - 1 \leq |F(v_1)F(v_2)| \leq$$

$$\leq |F(v_1)b(F(v_1), F(v_2))| + |b(F(v_1), F(v_2))F(v_2)|.$$

Then, it suffices to check that the distance between $b(F(v_1), F(v_2))$ and $b(F(u_1), F(u_2))$ is bounded by a constant $C_5 = C_5(A, \lambda, r)$ to assure that

$$|F(v_1)F(v_2)| \geq |F(v_1)F(u_1)| + |F(u_1)F(u_2)| + |F(u_2)F(v_2)| - 2C_5 - 1 \geq$$

$$\geq |v_1 u_1| + \frac{1}{\lambda}|u_1 u_2| - C + |u_2 v_2| - 2C_5 - 1.$$

This implies that making $C' := C + 2C_5 + 1$, F is a (λ, C') quasi-isometric map.

Clearly, by definition of $F(v_i)$, any branch point, $b(F(u_1), F(u_2))$, of $F(u_1), F(u_2)$ is a cone point for $F(v_1), F(v_2)$. Then, it suffices to bound $l(b(F(v_1), F(v_2))) - l(b(F(u_1), F(u_2))) \leq C_6$ for some constant $C_6 = C_6(\lambda, A, r)$ and make $C_5 = C_6 + 1$. Let us see how this comes from 2.

Let $B_2 = B(v_1) \cup B(v_2)$ and $B_1 = B(b(u_1, u_2))$ with $b(u_1, u_2)$ a branch point for u_1, u_2. Since $b(v_1, v_2)$ intersects both $B(u_1)$ and $B(u_2)$, it can be readily seen that $|b(v_1, v_2)b(u_1, u_2)| \leq 1$ and therefore it is immediate to check that

$$\frac{r^2}{4} diam(B(b(v_1, v_2))) \leq diam(B(b(u_1, u_2))) \leq \frac{4}{r^2} diam(B(b(v_1, v_2))).$$

Also, by lemma 3.10,

$$diam(B(v_1) \cup B(v_2)) \geq \frac{r^2}{4} diam(B(b(v_1, v_2))).$$

Thus,

$$\frac{diam(B_2)}{diam(B_1)} \geq \frac{r^2 diam(B(v_1) \cup B(v_2))}{4 \cdot diam(B(b(v_1, v_2)))} \geq \frac{r^4}{4^2},$$

and applying 2,

$$\frac{diam(f(B_2))}{diam(f(B_1))} \geq A\Big(\frac{r^4}{4^2}\Big)^{\lambda}.$$

Note that $F(b(u_1, u_2))$ is a vertex in a maximal level with $f(B_1) \subset B(F(b(u_1, u_2)))$ and therefore, $diam(f(B_1)) \geq \frac{r}{4} diam(B(F(b(u_1, u_2))))$. Then it follows that

$$\frac{diam(B(b(F(v_1)), B(F(v_2))))}{diam(B(F(b(u_1, u_2))))} \geq \frac{diam(f(B_2))}{diam(B(F(b(u_1, u_2))))} \geq \frac{r}{4} A\Big(\frac{r^4}{4^2}\Big)^{\lambda}.$$

From this, together with the existence of a constant $C_4 = C_4(A, \lambda, r)$ such that $|F(b(u_1, u_2))b(F(u_1), F(u_2))| \leq C_4$ which was proved in Claim 3, is easily obtained C_6 proving the claim in the unbounded case. If Z, Z' are bounded it is trivial to check that the claim is true also when we consider the image of v for $B(v) = Z$.

Claim 7. $F|_V$ can be extended to a quasi-isometric map on the hyperbolic approximation X. This is immediate since we have already defined this map in a set which is 1-dense (i.e. any point in the space is at distance ≤ 1 to the set) in the hyperbolic approximation. Also notice that if for every edge $[v, v']$ in X we define the image to be any geodesic path $[F(v), F(v')]$ in X, F is in fact a continuous quasi-isometric map.

Claim 8. F is a quasi-isometry. Let us see that there is a constant C_7 such that for any $v' \in V'$, $|v'F(X)| \leq C_7$. Since f is a homeomorphism there is some ball and, in particular, some vertex $v_2 \in V$ such that $f(B(v_2)) \subset B(v')$. Also, by 4.10, the map is metrically proper. In particular, there is a vertex $v_1 \in V$ such that there is a radial geodesic from v_2 to v_1 and $B(v') \subset f(B(v_1))$ with $l(F(v_1)) \geq l(v')$.

The map F is a (λ, C) quasi-isometry on $[v_2, v_1]$. Then, since $l(F(v_2)) \geq l(v') \geq l(F(v_1))$, there is a vertex $v \in [v_2, v_1]$ such that $|l(F(v)) - l(v')| \leq \lambda + C$. Clearly, $B(F(v))$ intersects $B(F(v_2))$ and, therefore, $B(v')$. Hence, by Lemma 3.8, $d(v', F(X)) \leq \lambda + C + 1 =: C_7$.

Claim 9. The induced map in the boundary is f, i.e. $\partial_\infty F(z) = f(z) \, \forall z \in Z$. Any point $z \in Z$ can be identified with a point in $\partial_\infty X$ given by a sequence of vertices $\{v_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $v_k \in V_k$ and $z \in B(v_k)$, which clearly converges at infinity. The sequence $F(v_k)$ also converges at infinity and hence, defines a point $\partial_\infty F(z)$ in Z', and it is necessarily $f(z)$, which is, by construction, in $B(F(v_k))$ for every k. □

Let us recall Corollary 7.1.6 in [2], in which visual metrics with base points in the spaces are supposed on the boundaries:

Theorem 4.15 (Buyalo-Schroeder). *Visual hyperbolic geodesic spaces X, X' with bilipschitz equivalent boundaries at infinity are roughly similar to each other. In particular, every visual hyperbolic space is roughly similar to any hyperbolic approximation of its boundary at infinity; and any two hyperbolic approximations of a complete bounded metric space Z are roughly similar to each other.*

From 4.14 and 4.15, we have:

Corollary 4.16. *Let X, X' be visual hyperbolic geodesic spaces. Then, any PQ-symmetric homeomorphism $f : \partial_\infty X \to \partial_\infty X'$ (with respect to any visual metrics between their boundaries with base points in X, Y respectively) can be extended to a quasi-isometry $F : X \to X'$.*

The next result also appears in [2]:

Theorem 4.17. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a quasi-isometric map of hyperbolic spaces. Then f naturally induces a well-defined map $\partial_\infty f : \partial_\infty X \to \partial_\infty Y$ of their boundaries at infinity which is PQ-symmetric with respect to any visual metrics with base points in X, Y or with base points $\omega \in \partial_\infty X$, $\partial_\infty f(\omega) \in \partial_\infty Y$ respectively.*

From this and 4.14, we conclude that,

Corollary 4.18. *Two visual hyperbolic geodesic spaces X, Y are quasi-isometric if and only if there is a PQ-symmetric homeomorphism f with respect to any visual metrics between their boundaries with base points in X, Y respectively.*

References

[1] Bonk, M., Schramm, O. *Embeddings of Gromov hyperbolic spaces.* Geom. Funct. Anal. **10**, (2000) 266–306.

[2] Buyalo, S., Schroeder, V. *Elements of Asymptotic Geometry.* EMS Monographs in Mathematics. Germany (2007).

[3] Gyhs, E., de la Harpe, P. *Sur le groupes hyperboliques d'après Mikhael Gromov* Progr.Math. 83, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, (1990).

[4] Gromov, M. Hyperbolic groups, in *Essays in group theory.* Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. 8, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1987) 75–263.

[5] Paulin, F. *Un groupe hyperbolique est déterminé par son bord.* J. London Math. Soc. (2) **54**, (1996) 50–74.